

Does time since injury and duration matter in the benefits of physical therapy treatment for concussion?

Journal of Concussion
Volume 5: 1–10
© The Author(s) 2021
Article reuse guidelines:
sagepub.com/journals-permissions
DOI: 10.1177/20597002211020896
journals.sagepub.com/home/ccn



Rosemarie S Moser¹ , Philip Schatz², Bridget Mayer¹, Sarah Friedman¹, Melissa Perkins¹, Christina Zebrowski¹, Siffat Islam¹, Hannah Lemke¹, Matthew James³ and Paul Vidal³

Abstract

Objective: To determine if there are differences in post-concussion symptom levels depending on 1) when physical therapy treatment is begun after the concussion and 2) the length of treatment.

Method: Retrospective chart review yielded 202 patients who sustained concussions and were referred for physical therapy. Participants/patients were assigned to independent groups based on time elapsed between concussion and physical therapy (0–14, 15–30, 31–60, 61–120, 121–365 days), and on months spent in treatment (1–4). Pre- and post-treatment scores were documented for the following measures: Sport Concussion Assessment Tool (SCAT), Convergence Insufficiency Symptom Survey (CISS), Dizziness Handicap Inventory (DHI), and Modified Clinical Test of Sensory Interaction on Balance (mCTSIB) using ANOVAs, with a Bonferroni-corrected p -value of $p < .005$.

Results: All patients demonstrated improvements with treatment, with no significant differences in outcomes for time elapsed since injury (SCAT Symptom Score ($p = .80$), SCAT Symptom Severity Score ($p = .97$), CISS ($p = .61$), DHI ($p = .65$), mCTSIB ($p = .13$)); or for months in treatment (SCAT Symptom Score ($p = .23$), SCAT Symptom Severity Score ($p = .04$), CISS ($p = .41$), DHI ($p = .37$), mCTSIB ($p = .50$)).

Conclusions: Improvements were similar for all patients receiving post-concussive physical therapy, regardless of time between injury and treatment onset, and regardless of time spent in treatment. These results may have implications for clinical decision-making and for third party payors' coverage of post-concussion treatment. Longer periods of treatment may not necessarily be of greater benefit and application of treatment if delayed may also be beneficial. Limitations to the study, such as its retrospective nature, lack of randomization, and convenience sample size are discussed.

Keywords

Concussion, physical therapy, mild traumatic brain injury, concussion treatment, concussion rehabilitation

Date received: 25 March 2020; accepted: 9 May 2021

The increasing recognition of concussion in sports has resulted in state concussion management legislation, sports rules changes, and increased public awareness.^{1,2} Concussion, also known as a mild traumatic brain injury, continues to be well-studied, however treatments for this medical condition have been elusive.³ The Berlin Guidelines of the 5th International Consensus Conference in Concussion in Sport affirm the importance of rest during the acute period, approximately 24–48 h following the injury, followed by a gradual, carefully paced introduction of physical

¹Sports Concussion Center of New Jersey, Princeton, NJ, USA

²Department of Psychology, Saint Joseph's University, Philadelphia, PA, USA

³Specialized Physical Therapy, Princeton, NJ, USA

Corresponding author:

Rosemarie S Moser, Sports Concussion Center of New Jersey, 281 Witherspoon Street, Suite 230, Princeton, NJ 08540, USA.
Email: rmoser@sportsconcussionNJ.com



exercise so as not to exacerbate symptoms.³ Studies of the acute post-concussion period have asserted both the detrimental effects of too much activity⁴ as well as of too much rest⁵ on recovery. Other studies have documented the benefits of introducing physical exercise as soon as tolerated.^{6,7}

Active rehabilitation through exercise at one-month post injury was found to improve outcomes in a sample of 16 children and adolescents who had sustained mild traumatic brain injuries.⁶ These findings were extended to slow-to-recover adolescents (i.e. after four weeks), who showed symptom improvement with activity.⁸ A 2017 systematic review of therapy and rehabilitation for concussion identified 14 randomized controlled trials (RCTs), with only one employing physical therapy as treatment, and that study indicated improvement on the Post-Concussion Symptom Inventory by patient report.⁹ Individualized “subthreshold exercise programs” have been recommended for patients in the acute recovery phase of concussion, using sub-symptom aerobic exercise as treatment for concussion,¹⁰ and researchers have supported the efficacy of supervised exercise within 16 days of sport-related concussion.¹¹ In recent research, Leddy et al. have suggested, in their randomized clinical trial of adolescents, that individualized subthreshold exercise treatment in the early post-concussion period speeds recovery.⁷ In this context, the Berlin Guidelines assert: “. . .closely monitored active rehabilitation programmes involving controlled sub-symptom-threshold, submaximal exercise have been shown to be safe and may be of benefit in facilitating recovery” and advise that “further research evaluating rest and active treatments should be performed. . .”³ (p.15). The use of an individualized program of exercise, often in the form of physical therapy, has grown as the treatment of choice following concussion.¹²

Physical therapy employs sub-symptom aerobic exercise as well as vestibular and oculomotor screening and therapy as treatment for concussion.¹³ Exercise that is carefully titrated in the post-acute period may be beneficial in reducing incidence of persistent post-concussive symptoms, and the proposed reason for this improvement is that exercise may normalize cerebrovascular physiological dysfunctions.¹⁴ However, the available research in this area of physical exercise titration and cerebrovascular physiological dysfunction has its limitations. Furthermore, it appears that some symptoms of concussion are oculomotor and vestibular in nature, occurring in up to 60% of concussed athletes, and these clinical subtypes of concussion may benefit from targeted vestibular therapy rehabilitation strategies,¹⁵ not just physical exercise.

Currently, the practicing clinician is advised to rely on rest followed by active rehabilitation in the acute

period as symptoms resolve. Time elapsed since the concussive event is a moderating variable that may affect treatment efficacy.¹⁴ However, in typical clinical outpatient practice there are many times when active rehabilitation is not made available during the acute or early post-concussion phases of recovery, due to a variety of reasons that may include, but are not limited to, lack of physician awareness, patient avoidance of treatment, and insurance denials. Furthermore, the ongoing concerns of third-party payors/insurers about improper utilization of health care treatments and of access to treatment can impact early access to treatment in the acute period.¹⁶

The predominance of research literature focuses on early intervention in the acute period, which shortens recovery time. Yet, are there benefits to delayed interventions? In a prospective quasi-experimental control group study of 49 “slow-to-recover” youth aged 8 to 17 years, active rehabilitation did not seem to affect post-concussion symptoms but did seem to improve quality of life and “energy”.¹⁷ In contrast, an earlier randomized controlled trial that investigated cervicovestibular rehabilitation in 31 adolescents and young adults with persistent symptoms of sport-related concussion found that a combination of cervical and vestibular physiotherapy decreased time to medical clearance for sports.¹⁸ A more recent retrospective study that employed physical therapy as an early, middle or late intervention in 120 adolescents indicated no significant differences in symptom reporting across the three groups,¹⁹ and concluded that applying intervention early in the three weeks after a concussion is feasible and tolerable. Although research on the effectiveness of delayed physical therapy that includes sub-symptom aerobic training is still lacking, the research that is available suggests that such interventions may be of benefit.²⁰

Thus, the above research reveals mixed findings on the efficacy and value of delayed physical therapy treatment. Since many individuals often do not receive physical therapy treatment early in their concussion recovery, and since physical therapy is growing as the treatment of choice in the early recovery period, the aim of the present study was to determine whether there may also be value in initiating such treatment later in recovery. An additional aim was to help further determine whether the length of treatment should be a consideration for the clinician who is prescribing physical therapy treatment, especially when cost and time often prohibit lengthy treatments. In the current health care climate that positions health care providers as gatekeepers to treatment, appropriate referrals that are evidence-based increases the likelihood of effective outcomes. Although the efficacy of delayed physical/active interventions for concussion is limited, the

Table 1. Patient demographics: Months spent in treatment.

	1 (N = 53; 27.0%)	2 (N = 81; 41.3%)	3 (N = 34; 17.3%)	4+ (N = 28; 14.3%)	Sig. p
Gender					.44
Male	37.7%	37.0%	23.5%	28.6%	
Female	62.3%	63.0%	76.5%	71.4%	
Age	24.2 (12.1)	23.8 (12.4)	23.5 (12.1)	29.3 (17.6)	.25
Concussion history	18.9%	23.5%	38.2%	20.8%	.09
Days elapsed between injury and start of treatment	85.8 (156.1)	61.2 (73.6)	47.2 (53.5)	91.4 (67.9)	.42

Note: Data presented as percentages or mean (SD).

findings in the more recent study by Lennon and authors described above suggest that physical therapy may also be of benefit if applied after a delay.¹⁹ Thus, we predicted that in the present study patients in the post-concussion symptom period would benefit equally from physical therapy treatment even if the treatment was delayed. In addition, we sought to determine whether or not a longer treatment duration was of additional benefit.

Methods

Study design

Institutional Review Board approval was obtained for retrospective analysis of de-identified data. This was a retrospective study of a multi-office physical therapy private practice database/convenience sample. Patient (participant) consent was not required and the study size was pre-determined by the available database at the time of the study.

Participants

Participants consisted of patients who had been referred to a New Jersey multi-office out-patient physical therapy private practice by other health care providers and who were entered into the practice database between March 2014 and August 2018. These were patients who for the most part received services through health insurance coverage. Out of an initial pool of the eligible 212 patients, for whom an electronic record was available, retrospective data were obtained for a total of 202 patients, for a 4.7% attrition rate (which is lower than the 10% overall rate reported in a review of the literature⁹). All participants were assigned to groups based on the following two criteria: 1) time elapsed between concussion and initiation of physical therapy (0–14 days vs. 15–30 days vs. 31–60 days vs. 61–120 days vs. 121–365 days, and 2) number of months spent in physical therapy (1–4 months). The mean age of the sample

Table 2. Symptom endorsement/primary complaints.

Complaint	N (%)
Headache	181 (89.6%)
Vision problems	108 (53.5%)
Dizziness/lightheadedness	91 (45%)
Photophobia	87 (43.1%)
Neck pain/stiff neck	68 (33.7%)
Phonophobia	68 (33.7%)
Attention/concentration	64 (31.7%)
Other	59 (29.2%)
Sleep problems	57 (28.2%)
Balance problems	50 (24.8%)
Fatigue/low energy	46 (22.8%)
Nervous/anxious	33 (16.3%)
Memory problems	32 (15.8%)
Nausea/vomiting	30 (14.9%)
Sadness/depression	22 (10.9%)
Irritability	21 (10.4%)
Feeling "In A Fog"	18 (8.9%)
More emotional	16 (7.9%)
Orthopedic complaints	12 (5.9%)
Feeling "Slowed Down"	11 (5.4%)
Confusion/disorientation	8 (4%)
Stress	8 (4%)
Frustration	5 (2.5%)
Word-finding problems	5 (2.5%)

was 25.0 (S.D. = 13.7) (Table 1), and 27.2% ($N = 55$) reported a history of previous concussion with no significant greater likelihood between treatment length groups ($\chi^2(3) = 6.61$, $p = .09$). Causes of concussion varied including motor vehicle injuries, accidents, and sports injuries, representing the landscape of a general physical therapy private outpatient practice. Patients presented with a variety of symptoms/complaints, which are documented in Table 2. The most common complaints were in the areas of headache (89.6% of patients), vision (53.5%), dizziness/lightheadedness (45%), photophobia (43.1%), neck pain/stiffness (33.7%) and phonophobia (33.7%). Medication use in the sample is presented in Table 3, with approximately

Table 3. Medication usage in the sample.

Drug	N	Percent
None	82	40.6%
OTC analgesics	66	32.7%
Birth control	10	5.0%
Vitamins	10	5.0%
Depression	8	4.0%
Prescription	6	3.0%
ADHD	5	2.5%
Other ^a	16	7.9%

^aAsthma, acne, anxiety, allergies, pain, migraine, hypertension.

40% claiming no medication use and almost 34% reporting use of over the counter analgesics. Reasons for delayed treatment were not specifically noted in the medical records. However, typical, possible reasons included the timing of the referring doctor's recommendation, the patient's compliance with the recommendation, the patient's scheduling preferences, and insurance approval/authorization, the latter of which appeared to be often the most pressing reason.

Measures

Participants completed four measures at their first and last physical therapy appointments: The Symptom Evaluation from the Sport Concussion Assessment Tool versions 3 and 5 (SCAT3/5), the Convergence Insufficiency Symptom Survey (CISS), Dizziness Handicap Inventory (DHI), and the Modified Clinical Test of Sensory Interaction on Balance (mCTSIB). The SCAT is a standardized tool that is used to measure symptoms of concussion.²¹ During the time span of the present study, the SCAT3 version was updated to the SCAT5. However, only the Symptom Evaluation, which is included in both versions of the SCAT, was utilized for analysis. It renders two scores: total number of symptoms (0–22) and symptom severity (0–132). Twenty-two symptoms, such as headache, feeling slowed down, sadness, trouble falling asleep, are rated on a 0 (none) to 6 (severe) scale. This symptom scale has been considered reliable and valid for assessing presence and severity of symptoms.²² A systematic review of concussion symptom checklists indicated an internal consistency (Chronbach α) of .87, and test–retest reliability (Spearman r) of .55, as well as face and content validity.²³ The CISS assesses an ocular-motor condition in which the individual experiences difficulty aligning both eyes on a near object. The CISS consists of 14 items which the patient rates on a 0 (never) to 4 (always) scale. In one study, it was shown to have good discrimination with a sensitivity of 96% and specificity of 88%.²⁴ However, in another study sensitivity

was considered adequate (.78 (95% CI = .60–.89)) while specificity was considered poor (.35 (95% CI = .26–.46)).²⁵ Authors of the latter study concluded that CISS scores may assist clinicians in understanding visual difficulties but should not be relied upon as a diagnostic tool. The DHI is a 25 item self-rating inventory that asks the patient about the impact of dizziness on activities of daily living. The score range is 0 to 100 and the inventory has been found to have moderate-to-high correlation with related measures, high internal consistency ($\alpha = .95$), and high sensitivity/specificity to disability (AUC = .85 sensitivity; .79 specificity).²⁶ The mCTSIB is used to measure how the visual, somatosensory, and vestibular systems affect postural control/balance. Six standing conditions are assessed with eyes open and closed and on firm and foam surfaces and the test takes approximately < 15 min. This measure has been found to have excellent reliability and validity,²⁷ with test–retest reliability reported as ICC = .91–.97²⁸ and Spearman correlation coefficients from .69 to .92.²⁹ Criterion validity has been reported by Nitz et al.³⁰ in a 10-year prospective study of the prediction of future multiple falls (Odds Ratio 4.21, 95% confidence interval 1.79–9.92).

Treatment description

Participants were provided a course of physical therapy that was multimodal in nature and based on symptom presentation. Table 4 provides an outlined description of treatments. Modalities employed included: 1) *vestibular rehabilitation*, 2) *neurological rehabilitation* (ocular-motor exercise), 3) *therapeutic exercise* (strengthening exercise with aerobic conditioning/exertion training), 4) *manual therapy* targeted to the cervical spine (soft tissue massage to tight muscles, joint mobilization to restricted joints, strengthening of the neck flexors and extensors) and heat or ice for pain relief, 5) *therapeutic activity* (activities focusing on physical function like walking and activities of daily living), 6) *patient education* regarding concussion symptoms and treatment. Home activities and exercises were provided and reviewed at the beginning of each therapy session and then revised and prescribed at the end of each session. Therapists also communicated with the referring physician during treatment. The frequency and intensity of the treatment was based on the patient's presentation, and one or more modalities would be used more prominently depending on the patient's focus of symptoms. Overall, patients were provided therapeutic exercise (99%), neurological rehabilitation (99%), manual therapies (96%), vestibular rehabilitation (79%), therapeutic activities (71%), and patient education (100%).

The frequency and intensity of the treatment was based on the patient's presentation and symptoms/

Table 4. Description of treatments.*Vestibular rehabilitation*

Purpose: Address head/body movement related dizziness, blurry vision with head movement

Goal: improve/resolve movement related dizziness; stabilize visual gaze during head movement

Exercises:

1. Eye-head coordination exercises
2. Gaze stabilization exercises (ie: x1 viewing, x2 viewing)

Exercise repetition, sets, and level of difficulty (firm/foam surface, visual conflict, static vs. dynamic) were performed and progressed based on patient's tolerance and response

Neurological rehabilitation

Purpose: Address symptoms provoked by eye movement, viewing, and reading

Goal: improve/resolve eye movement related symptoms, near/far viewing, and reading

Exercises:

1. Smooth pursuits in horizontal and vertical planes
2. Saccades in horizontal and vertical planes
3. Convergence exercises with brock string
4. Accommodation exercises (near/far focusing)

Exercise repetition, sets, and level of difficulty (weight, resistance, position) were performed and progressed based on patient's tolerance and response

Therapeutic exercise

Purpose: Address exercise intolerance and weakness of cervical muscles

Goal: Improve tolerance to physical exertion and strengthen cervical muscles

Exercises:

1. Graded aerobic exercise via treadmill, stationary bike, and/or elliptical

Aerobic activity performed maintaining < or equal to a 2 point increase in baseline symptoms. Aerobic activity progressed up to 30 minutes.

2. Progressive strengthening of deep neck flexors, cervical extensors, and scapular muscles

Exercise repetition, sets, and level of difficulty (weight, resistance, position) were performed and progressed based on patient's tolerance and response

Manual therapy

Purpose: Address cervical spine pain, limitation in cervical spine joints, tightness in cervical muscles, and motor control deficits of cervical muscles

Goal: Improve/resolve cervical pain, headache; restore range of motion, flexibility of muscles, and motor control of muscles

Techniques:

1. Graded mobilization of upper cervical joints (C1-C3)
2. Soft tissue massage and stretching of involved muscles: sternocleidomastoid, sub-occipital, upper trapezius, levator scapula

Manual techniques applied to patient's tolerance

3. Progressive strengthening of deep neck flexors, cervical extensors, and scapular muscles

Exercise repetition, sets, and level of difficulty (weight, resistance, position) were performed and progressed based on patient's tolerance and response

Therapeutic activity

Purpose: Address limitations in daily function or performance of specific tasks

Goal: Improve/normalize patient's ability/capacity to function

Activities:

1. Specific to patient's needs (eg: walking in hallways, sport specific tasks, lifting from the floor, lifting overhead, quick turning/changes in position)

Activity repetition, sets, and level of difficulty (weight, resistance) were performed and progressed based on patient's tolerance and response

Patient education

Purpose: To facilitate recovery through a greater understanding of condition and importance of prescribed home exercises.

Goal: Independence with home exercises to facilitate in-office treatment and long-term results

Exercises:

1. Home exercises prescribed consistent with performance and progression of each therapy: vestibular, neurological, therapeutic exercise, manual therapy, therapeutic activity

limitations. For example, patients with symptoms that primarily included dizziness, imbalance, headache, and/or visual disturbance were treated with vestibular rehabilitation. The intensity and complexity of vestibular rehabilitation increased as the patient's symptoms/limitations improved. Patients who had a primary or co-complaint of neck pain, neck stiffness, headache, and/or dizziness were treated with manual therapies. Manual therapy interventions were continued until complaints of neck pain and/or neck stiffness were resolved. Patient's with a primary or co-complaint of visual disturbance, headache, and/or dizziness were treated with neurological rehabilitation in the form of oculo-motor exercises that were progressed in a similar fashion as vestibular rehabilitation.

Clinical decision making involved with each modality was made to promote a patient's functional recovery and return to previous level of function. With regard to sequencing of modalities within a treatment session, priority was given to manual therapy to help address complaints of neck pain, neck stiffness, headache, and/or dizziness. Thus, improving symptoms thought to be originating from the cervical spine helped to facilitate performance in other modalities (e.g. vestibular rehabilitation requires adequate neck range of motion, cervical afferent input interacts with vestibular and ocular function).

On average, patients were seen once or twice per week depending on symptom presentation and weaned to once per week with improvement. Sessions occurred in the physical therapy offices and each session lasted 45–60 min. Recovery and return to normal functioning was determined on a case by case basis through clinical decision-making that included 1) improvement on the four outcome measures described above, 2) clinical observations of physical functions, and 3) patient report of return to premorbid level of function.

Statistical methods

Analyses were conducted using SPSS, Version 23.³¹ Patients were compared for gender differences

(chi-square) and age and days spent in treatment (one-way ANOVAs). These comparisons were based on the group variables of months spent in treatment, and on time elapsed between injury and initiation of treatment. Given a small but significant relationship between total days in treatment and number of days between injury and initial evaluation ($r = .18$, $p = .011$), two multiple/linear regression analyses were conducted. In the first regression, days between injury and initial evaluation was entered as a co-variate followed by pre-to post- treatment change scores for the following measures: Sport Concussion Assessment Tool (SCAT), Convergence Insufficiency Symptom Survey (CISS), Dizziness Handicap Inventory (DHI), and Modified Clinical Test of Sensory Interaction on Balance (mCTSIB). In the second regression, total days in treatment was entered as a co-variate, followed by pre-to post- treatment change scores on the SCAT, CISS, DHI, and mCTSIB. For illustrative purposes, pre- and post- treatment scores were compared for the SCAT, CISS, DHI, and mCTSIB using ANOVAs, using ordinal groups based on 1) time between initial injury and initiation of treatment, and 2) months in treatment. Shared variance between outcome scores was documented using Pearson correlations. Following Bonferroni correction for inflated Type I Error, statistical significance was set at $p < .005$. Partial-eta squared (η^2) were calculated as a measure of effect size, with .01 constituting a small effect, .06 a medium effect and .14 a large effect.³²

Results

Tables 1 and 5 present Patient Demographics. Comparing groups based on months spent receiving post-concussion treatment, chi-square analysis revealed no significant between-groups differences based on gender ($p = .44$), and one-way ANOVAs revealed no significant between-groups differences for age ($p = .25$) or days elapsed between the injury and start of treatment ($p = .42$) (Table 1). Comparing groups based on likelihood of greater time elapsed between

Table 5. Patient demographics: Time elapsed between injury and initiation of treatment.

	0–14 (<i>N</i> = 30; 15.8%)	15–30 (<i>N</i> = 54; 28.4%)	31–60 (<i>N</i> = 54; 28.4%)	61–120 (<i>N</i> = 34; 17.9%)	121–365 (<i>N</i> = 18; 9.5%)	Sig. <i>p</i>
Gender						.031
Male	46.7%	31.5%	29.6%	17.6%	55.6%	
Female	53.3%	68.5%	70.4%	82.4%	44.4%	
Age	22.2 (7.3)	25.5 (12.4)	23.8 (11.8)	27.6 (19.4)	23.0 (10.6)	.47
Days spent in treatment	53.5 (45.2)	62.6 (40.0)	61.5 (67.4)	62.7 (76.8)	45.1 (23.7)	.77

Note: Data presented as percentages or mean (SD).

injury and initiation of treatment, chi-square analysis revealed females were significantly more likely to be in groups representing a greater delay between injury and initiation of treatment than males ($p=.031$). However, between-groups analysis showed no significant difference between males and females on actual days between injury and start of treatment ($F(1,200) = .56$; $p = .46$) (Table 5).

For the first regression analysis, change scores on the SCAT, CISS, DHI, and mCTSIB were regressed on total days in treatment, with time between injury and initial evaluation entered as a co-variate. Neither time between injury and initial evaluation ($p = .34$, $r = .09$, $r^2 = .009$), nor change scores ($p = .33$, $r = .26$, $r^2 = .066$) were significant predictors of total days in treatment. For the second regression analysis, change scores on the SCAT, CISS, DHI, and mCTSIB were regressed on days between injury and initial evaluation, with total days in treatment entered as a co-variate. Neither total days in treatment ($p = .34$, $r = .09$, $r^2 = .001$), nor change scores ($p = .34$, $r = .30$, $r^2 = .09$) were significant predictors of total days in treatment.

To illustrate the stability of change scores based on time since injury and based on total time in treatment, patients were assigned to independent groups based on time elapsed between concussion and initiation of physical therapy (0–14 days vs. 15–30 days vs. 31–60 days vs. 61–120 days vs. 121–365 days, and number of months spent in physical therapy (1–4 months), and change scores were analyzed using between-groups ANOVAs.

Table 6. Group differences: Time elapsed between injury and initiation of treatment.

	0–14 ($N = 30$; 15.8%)	15–30 ($N = 54$; 28.4%)	31–60 ($N = 54$; 28.4%)	61–120 ($N = 34$; 17.9%)	121–365 ($N = 18$; 9.5%)	Sig. p
SCAT $S_x\Delta$	8.3 (6.1)	7.6 (7.1)	7.0 (4.9)	8.2 (5.4)	6.3 (6.1)	.80
SCAT Sev Δ	25.4 (22.8)	21.7 (24.5)	22.3 (18.7)	21.2 (15.9)	22.3 (21.0)	.97
CISS Δ	18.8 (8.9)	14.8 (14.8)	14.3 (11.9)	18.6 (11.1)	15.1 (12.0)	.61
DHI Δ	23.6 (13.4)	18.9 (21.6)	22.7 (17.1)	16.1 (19.9)	22.6 (13.8)	.65
mCTSIB Δ	0.9 (1.3)	1.1 (2.5)	0.7 (1.6)	2.9 (6.0)	1.5 (2.1)	.13

Note: Data presented as percentages or mean (SD).

Table 7. Group differences: Months spent in treatment.

	1 ($N = 53$; 27.0%)	2 ($N = 81$; 41.3%)	3 ($N = 34$; 17.3%)	4+ ($N = 28$; 14.3%)	Sig. p
SCAT $S_x \Delta$	6.3 (5.6)	7.0 (5.9)	9.5 (7.0)	6.8 (5.5)	.23
SCAT Severity Δ	16.0 (17.4)	19.1 (19.3)	32.0 (28.8)	21.2 (20.8)	.04
CISS Δ	15.8 (13.5)	15.7 (12.7)	19.4 (13.9)	11.3 (10.7)	.41
DHI Δ	18.7 (15.2)	19.6 (18.2)	26.8 (20.5)	17.5 (17.6)	.37
mCTSIB Δ	.7 (1.3)	1.4 (4.0)	1.8 (2.2)	.6 (1.4)	.50

Note: Data presented as percentages or mean (SD).

There were no significant differences in outcomes for time elapsed since injury on SCAT Symptom Score ($p = .80$, $\eta^2 = .02$), SCAT Symptom Severity Score ($p = .97$, $\eta^2 = .02$), CISS ($p = .61$, $\eta^2 = .02$), DHI ($p = .65$, $\eta^2 = .04$), mCTSIB ($p = .13$, $\eta^2 = .00$) (Table 6); or for months in treatment on SCAT Symptom Score ($p = .23$, $\eta^2 = .03$), SCAT Symptom Severity Score ($p = .04$, $\eta^2 = .09$), CISS ($p = .41$, $\eta^2 = .03$), DHI ($p = .37$, $\eta^2 = .03$), mCTSIB ($p = .50$, $\eta^2 = .10$) (Table 7).

Outcome scores showed statistically significant correlations ($p < .005$) between all measures, ranging from $r = .22$ (SCAT severity and mCTSIB) to $r = .70$ (SCAT symptoms and SCAT severity) with shared variance (e.g. r^2) ranging from 4.8 to 48.4%. Correlations are provided in Table 8.

Discussion

These findings suggest that for patients with concussion, whether physical therapy was applied within the

Table 8. Intercorrelations between outcome measures.

	SCAT total	SCAT severity	CISS	DHI
SCAT severity	.696			
CISS	.504	.481		
DHI	.467	.520	.492	
mCTSIB	.258	.218	.373	.369

$p < .005$ for all correlations.

first 14 days post-concussion or much later, such as 121–365 days post-concussion, similar improvements were demonstrated. Improvements were documented for the number of reported concussion symptoms, endorsed symptom severity, ocular-motor function, balance, and dizziness. In addition, the duration of therapy, whether for one month or for up to four months, also did not appear to result in any differences in improvements.

These findings bear clinical implications for treatment planning. Since similar improvements were seen whether physical therapy was provided earlier (within 14 days post-concussion) or later, there is no reason to wait to initiate treatment. Early treatment may help accelerate recovery, improve morale, prevent possible adoption of a sick role, and reduce feelings of hopelessness for the patient. Importantly, though, if for some reason a patient is not referred for treatment early, or receives a concussion diagnosis at a late date, initiation of physical therapy appears to still be beneficial, and thus it is never too late to benefit from such therapy. For example, there may be a number of factors that could result in delay of treatment: geographic accessibility, other physical injuries, surgeries, or medical conditions that may have co-occurred independent of the concussion. In such cases, delayed physical therapy could still be of value. These interesting findings on the benefit of physical therapy treatment even if delayed parallel those findings published in other concussion research regarding the delayed application of rest.^{33–35}

These results can inform third party payors who approve health care coverage for physical therapy in concussion patients. Payors should be poised to approve physical therapy services early after the concussion diagnosis, as well as later if the patient has not been referred for treatment in the early post-concussion stage. Importantly, other research has suggested the benefit of applying interventions early, within the first three weeks of concussion.¹⁹

Of note, the present study also revealed that the length of treatment whether for one month or four months did not statistically make a difference in outcomes. That finding may encourage payors to approve briefer durations of physical therapy or in some cases discontinue services after one month. It is not clear why the duration of treatment did not reveal a difference in outcomes. Variables such as patient motivation for treatment, compliance with home assignments, educational level, or severity of the injury were not controlled for in the present study and could impact treatment efficacy and outcome.

Future research may do well to investigate these and other variables that may impact the effectiveness and duration of early or late treatment. Also, might there

be an early spontaneous recovery period, after which one would determine whether therapy is even needed and should be initiated? There are many questions yet to be investigated, the answers to which may shed light on treatment decision-making.

It is clear there are significant limitations to the present study. Importantly, it was retrospective in scope, used a convenience sample, and lacked randomization. It also lacked a control/placebo group, thus limiting its generalizability to the clinical population studied. A systematic review of predictors of concussion recovery noted that most youth and young adults who have sustained sport-related concussions will recover clinically within one month³⁶ and other more specific studies have reported percentages such as 88.8% return to play within 10–21 days post injury³⁷ and 60.1% return to play within one week.³⁸ Thus, future studies of physical therapy treatment for concussion should consider the need for randomization, control for self-selection, account for those who improve early and never seek physical therapy, and as noted above consider the notion of spontaneous recovery.

The present sample consisted of a heterogeneous group, a mix of mechanism of injury and individually tailored treatment that were not controlled. As this patient sample spanned four years, it is not known whether treatments were altered over time based on therapist knowledge of the evolution of new international or national guidelines. Furthermore, participants represented one, although multi-centered, physical therapy practice. Finally, the number of days between injury and initial evaluation correlated positively with number of days in treatment. We controlled for this relationship as a statistical covariate (e.g. there was no resultant greater severity or symptom endorsement for patients with lengthier time intervals between injury and initial assessment). However, there may have been other factors or pre-physical-therapy concussion-related issues which were not documented or addressed in a physical therapy setting. Finally, a larger sample size would have also provided more confidence in the present findings.

Nonetheless, although the available research on the efficacy of physical therapy as a treatment for concussion is growing, many studies that have already been published are small in sample size, describe cases or case series,³⁹ and are without controls. The literature asserts the lack of standardized physical therapy treatment protocols for patients with prolonged concussion symptoms¹² yet recommends tailored, individualized protocols based on symptom presentation of the patient and application of clinical judgement and knowledge.⁴⁰ The present study adds to the growing literature on the value of physical therapy in concussion management and provides support for the benefit

of such therapy whether early or late in the post-concussion stage. These findings can help guide the decisions of clinicians in the timing of their referrals of patients for treatment as well as apprise third party payors of the benefit of physical therapy early in the post-concussion stage.


Declaration of conflicting interests

The author(s) declared the following potential conflicts of interest with respect to the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article: Dr Vidal is owner of the practice from which data were culled. Dr James was employed in that practice at the time. Dr Moser is owner and director of the Sports Concussion Center of NJ (SCCNJ) and has referred patients to the physical therapy practice that is noted. Dr Schatz is a consultant/research director for SCCNJ and consultant to ImPACT Applications Inc.

Funding

The author(s) received no financial support for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article.

ORCID iD

Rosemarie S Moser  <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-0893-4321>

References

- Moser RS. *Ahead of the game: the parents' guide to youth sports concussion*. Hanover, NH: Dartmouth College Press, 2012.
- Moser RS. The growing public health concern of sports concussion: the new psychology practice frontier. *Prof Psychol Res Pr* 2007; 38: 699–704.
- McCrary P, Meeuwisse W, Dvořák J, et al. Consensus statement on concussion in sport – the 5th international conference on concussion in sport held in Berlin, October 2016. *Br J Sports Med* 2017; 51: 838–847.
- Brown NJ, Mannix RC, O'Brien M, et al. Effect of cognitive activity level on duration of post-concussion symptoms. *Pediatrics* 2014; 133: e299–e1025.
- Thomas DG, Apps JN, Hoffmann RG, et al. Benefits of strict rest after acute concussion: a randomized controlled trial. *Pediatrics* 2015; 135: 213–223.
- Gagnon I, Galli C, Friedman D, et al. Active rehabilitation for children who are slow to recover following sport-related concussion. *Brain Inj* 2009; 23: 956–964.
- Leddy JJ, Haider MN, Ellis MJ, et al. Early subthreshold aerobic exercise for sport-related concussion: a randomized clinical trial. *JAMA Pediatr* 2019; 173: 319.
- Gagnon I, Grilli L, Friedman D, et al. A pilot study of active rehabilitation for adolescents who are slow to recover from sport-related concussion. *Scand J Med Sci Sports* 2016; 26: 299–306.
- Thomas RE, Alves J, Vaska Mlis MM, et al. Therapy and rehabilitation of mild brain injury/concussion: systematic review. *Restor Neurol Neurosci* 2017; 35: 643–666.
- Leddy J, Haider M, Ellis M, et al. Exercise is medicine for concussion. *Current Sports Med Reports* 2018; 17: 262–270.
- Popovich M, Almeida A, Freeman J, et al. Use of supervised exercise during recovery following sports-related concussion. *Clin J Sport Med* 2021; 31: 127–132.
- Hugentobler JA, Vegh M, Janiszewski B, et al. Physical therapy intervention strategies for patients with prolonged mild traumatic brain injury symptoms: a case series. *Int J Sports Phys Ther* 2015; 10: 676–689.
- Broglio SP, Collins MW, Williams RM, et al. Current and emerging rehabilitation for concussion: a review of the evidence. *Clin Sports Med* 2015; 34: 213–231.
- Leddy JJ, Sandhu H, Sodhi V, et al. Rehabilitation of concussion and post-concussion syndrome. *Sports Health* 2012; 4: 147–154.
- Mucha A, Collins MW, Elbin RJ, et al. A brief vestibular/ocular motor screening (VOMS) assessment to evaluate concussions: preliminary findings. *Am J Sports Med* 2014; 42: 2479–2486.
- Brownlee S, Chalkidou K, Doust J, et al. Evidence for overuse of medical services around the world. *Lancet* 2017; 390: 156–168.
- Gauvin-Lepage J, Friedman D, Grilli L, et al. Effectiveness of an exercise-based active rehabilitation intervention for youth who are slow to recover after concussion. *Clin J Sports Med* 2020; 30: 423–432.
- Schneider KJ, Meeuwisse WH, Nettel-Aguirre A, et al. Cervicovestibular rehabilitation in sport-related concussion: a randomized controlled trial. *Br J Sports Med* 2014; 48: 1294–1298.
- Lennon A, Hugentobler J, Sroka MC, et al. An exploration of the impact of initial timing of physical therapy on safety and outcomes after concussion in adolescents. *J Neurologic Phys Ther* 2018; 42: 123–131.
- Kurowski BG, Hugentobler J, Quatman-Yates C, et al. Aerobic exercise for adolescents with prolonged symptoms after mild traumatic brain injury: an exploratory randomized clinical trial. *J Head Trauma Rehab* 2017; 32: 79–89.
- Echemendia RJ, Meeuwisse W, McCrary P, et al. The sport concussion assessment tool 5th edition (SCAT5): background and rationale. *Br J Sports Med* 2017; 51: 848–850.
- Guskiewicz KM, Register-Mihalik J, McCrary P, et al. Evidence-based approach to revising the SCAT2: introducing the SCAT3. *Br J Sports Med* 2013; 47: 289–293.
- McLeod TC and Leach C. Psychometric properties of self-report concussion scales and checklists. *J Athl Train* 2012; 47: 221–223.
- Borsting EJ, Rouse MW, Mitchell G, et al. Validity and reliability of the revised convergence insufficiency symptom survey in children aged 9 to 18 years. *Optom Vis Sci* 2003; 80: 832–838.
- Trbovich AM, Sherry NK, Henley J, et al. The utility of the convergence insufficiency symptom survey (CISS) post-concussion. *Brain Inj* 2019; 33: 1545–1551.
- Tamber AL, Wilhelmsen K and Strand LI. Measurement properties of the dizziness handicap inventory by

- cross-sectional and longitudinal designs. *Health Qual Life Outcomes* 2009; 7: 101.
27. Horn LB, Rice T, Stoskus JL, et al. Measurement characteristics and clinical utility of the clinical test of sensory interaction on balance (CTSIB) and modified CTSIB in individuals with vestibular dysfunction. *Arch Phys Med Rehabil* 2015; 96: 1747–1748.
 28. Hageman PA, Leibowitz JM and Blanke D. Age and gender effects on postural control measures. *Arch Phys Med Rehabil* 1995; 76: 961–965.
 29. Crowe TK, Deitz JC, Richardson PK, et al. Interrater reliability of the pediatric clinical test of sensory interaction for balance. *Phys Occup Ther Pediatr* 2009; 10: 1–27.
 30. Nitz JC, Stock L and Khan A. Health-related predictors of falls and fractures in women over 40. *Osteoporos Int* 2013; 24: 613–621.
 31. SPSS. *IBM SPSS for Macintosh, version 23*. Armonk, NY: IBM Corp. 2015.
 32. Cohen J. *Statistical power analysis for the behavioral sciences*. Hillside, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates, 1988.
 33. Moser RS, Schatz P, Glenn M, et al. Examining prescribed rest as treatment for adolescents who are slow to recover from concussion. *Brain Inj* 2015; 29: 58–60.
 34. Moser RS, Glatts C and Schatz P. Efficacy of immediate and delayed cognitive and physical rest following sports-related concussion. *J Pediatr* 2012; 161: 922–926.
 35. Moser RS and Schatz P. A case for mental and physical rest in youth sports concussion: it's never too late. *Frontiers Neurol* 2012; 3: 171.
 36. Iverson GL, Gardner AJ, Terry DP, et al. Predictors of clinical recovery from concussion: a systematic review. *Br J Sports Med* 2017; 51: 941–948.
 37. McKeon JMM, Livingston SC, Reed A, et al. Trends in concussion return-to-play timelines among high school athletes from 2007 to 2009. *J Athl Train* 2013; 48: 836–843.
 38. Wasserman EB, Kerr ZY, Zuckerman S, et al. Epidemiology of sports-related concussions in national collegiate association athletes from 2009–2010 to 2013–2014. *Am J Sports Med* 2016; 44: 226–233.
 39. Bailey NF. Unique physical therapy management of a young adult with post-concussion symptoms: a case report. *Int J Student Scholarship Phys Ther* 2015; 1: 1–11.
 40. Kane AW, Diaz DS and Moore C. Physical therapy management of adults with mild traumatic brain injury. *Semin Speech Lang* 2019; 40: 36–47.